P. F. 37 892

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1891–92

192 .

(L. R.)

Later references.

File No. 130 of 9

Serial Nos. 1–5

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–5

Major ~~Part~~ B for May 1893

SUBJECT.

Claim of Devamma & Mattavara, Chikkamagalur Taluk Regarding the pa

WD 2223—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

Boundaries marked

Inscribed

enquiring whether
174 dated 3rd August 1871 and had any claim for
305 dated 23rd September 1871. maintenance and whether
the Patel Ombli land
enjoyed by her deceased
son was continued to
her or transferred to Mood
= Kanna gowda.

Office No 442 dated 20: October 1871 to the Division Superintendent.

11. It was reported that the Ombli was still in the enjoyment of the petitioner Devamma Heggadati and the following addition was made to the letter:

"Considering the position of the deceased (son of the petitioner) who was Patel in his own right and as no one has been appointed Patel in his stead, one Patel having been appointed instead of the former two, the Ombli might as a special case be allowed to remain in the enjoyment of the mu of the deceased to, to Government after her death."

12. It was also proposed
in this Office No. 532
dated 30th November
1871 forwarding Mood
Liyanna Gowda's petition
"that" it would be equitable
to perhaps to deduct Rs. 3.1.6
if he was entitled to for his
services as sole Patel,
from the allowance
recommended for Dé-
vamma Heggadati
in No. 442 dated 20th
October 1871."

No. 542 dated 8th January

No. 3. With reference
to the above 2 References
the Division Superin-
tendent forwarded
to this Office for report
Copy of the Chief Com-
missioner's letter No. 4592/49
dated 16th December 1871
1. Enquiring if the peti-
tioner Devamma
Heggadati's late hus-
band's brother (Chennegowda)
was living as alleged
by her why was he not

nominated to it in lieu
of Mookkumagoundan
and (2) stating that the
measure of granting
a portion of the Patel
Inbli land to her was
opposed to the rule and
that she was only en-
=titled to maintenance
from the Patel to whom
the Inbli land was
assigned

781 dated 12 March 1872. 14. A report was sub-
=mitted to the Division
Superintendent to say
that Devamma Hegga
=dati's late husband's
brother Chennagowda (2)
died about 5 months
prior to the date of
reply and that there
was no other male
heir of her family
besides Siddegowda
alias Mari Gowda this
son of the dec
Chennegowda

15. His not translat-
=able in this [illegible]
correspondence Vide [illegible]

between the Division
Superintendent and
the Chief Commissioner's
Office on receipt of
this Office No. 781 dated
12th March 1872 in the
Office of the Division
Superintendent: however
a reply No. 10 dated 3d
April 1872 was finally
received from the
Division Superintendent
to the effect that the
instructions contained
in para 2 of the Chief
Commissioner's Office
No. 4592-49 dated 16th
December 1871 already
quoted regarding
maintenance to
Devanuma Reggadu
payable by Moodkanna
Ranjia should be
given out.

Reggadu after necessary
enquiries in this Office
by her they are issued

10th September 1872

28 to the Amildar of Chik-
-magalur to say that a
moiety of Rs. 36-8-. which
were profits of the ombli
lands should be given
to Devamma Heggadati
for her maintenance.

31st March 1874. 17. In a Regular Revenue
Suit filed in the Deputy
Commissioner's Court in
1873-74 Byrappa (F) ~~vs~~
Mudkamangowda (E) - the
Plaintiff Byrappa
obtained a Decree in his
favor and got possession
of the Jain share of the
Patelship of the Village
in question.

8th June 1874. 18. At the time of the
Kacha Potigi Settlement
in 1874-75 the then Deputy
Commissioner, Mr. Krishna
Rao, passed the follow-
-ing order as regards
the Patelship of this
village.

" The Patelship of this Vil-
-lage and 3 hamlets
was held originally
in 2 shares Viz:-

Shivachar share
and a Jain share.
There is a Childless
widow in the former
line to whom the
Chief Commissioner
has ordered main-
tenance to be allowed.
There is also a minor
aged about 10 named
Mari Siddegowda (G)
who is the son of this
woman's husband's
brother (X). The Jain
share is represented
by (Bhyrappa) Bhyre
gowda (F). the grand
son of the Samad-
dar who has had
a decree passed in
his favor in the Dis-
trict Court as against
Mood Kanna gowda (E)
another descendant
of the same branch.
This Bhyregowda (F)
can both read and
write and is physically

× Devamma Hegg
the present appe

30 fit. He is therefore confirmed with the proviso that his remuneration will be subject to the payment of the maintenance of the surviving widow (C) of the other Branch."

19. Bhyregowda (F) did work as Patel till 1878-79 when he was dismissed. Moodkan=nagowda (E) was ap=pointed Patel vice Bhyregowda (F) on 14 January 1879.

20. Moodkannagowda has thenceforth been doing duty as the sole Patel of the Mattanur village and its 3 hamlets.

5th January 1892. 21. After a lapse of 20 years Devannna Heggadati petitioned to this office praying (1) that her late husband's

brother's son Mari
Siddegowda (G) should
be given her share
of the Pateli in ques:
-tion, and stating
(2) that Moodkanna
gowda (E) has been
paying her only Rs. 10
and odd instead
of Rs. 18-4-0 as ori:
-ginally ordered
to be paid to her.

22. As regards her
1st. prayer, an endorse
-ment No. 59 dated 13th
May 1892 was issued
to her stating that final
orders having been
passed in the Pateli
case so far back as
in 1872 and no Govern
-ment orders having
been received on the
question of the revival
of the Hak of the Dukla
Villages, there were
no grounds for the

Deputy Commissioner to interfere with the orders of 1872 but that orders would be issued to the Chikmaglur Taluk Amildar to make enquiries regarding her 2d. allegation about maintenance.

23. Accordingly enquiries were instituted as regards the 2d. Count of her Complaint and on receipt of the statement of both Moodkanna gowda (E) and Devamma Heggadati the following order was passed on 15th June 1892 and an Endorsement was again issued to her to the effect of that order.

"It appears from the statements of the different persons taken by the Amildar that a private arrangement took place as regards the payment of the maintenance

to Devamma Heggadati,
that this private ar-
rangement has been
in effect since the
Survey Settlement and
it is not clear why
Devamma Heggadati
has now come forward.
Under the circumstances
no orders can be passed
on the petition.

Now the Appeal has
been preferred by Devam-
ma Heggadati against
the orders conveyed to her
in this office Endorse-
ment No. 59 dated
13th May.

H H Sparks
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.
Asst. C.

Shiva.

Mallegowda.

and to 3 Dahla Villages.

Mattawar Jain Share

A

A Marisiddegowda 1st Paldar. Patel died without issues

Divagowda Died

Chikkegowda Died

X Chennegowda Died.

x Adopted.

B Mudkanna Gowda 2d Paldar Patel Died

Sante Gowda Died.

E Mudkanna Gowda The present Respondent

C Widow Devamma Heggadati Present Appellant

son

D Nirvanigowda died a minor in 1870.

G Marisidde Gowda Present.

H Devegowda (Imprisoned ✝ died) leaving 5 following Sons

Miallegowda Died.

Rajegowda Died.

1st Bhyrappa F Died leaving a minor son now aged 10 (present).

2d Chikkanna } Present.

3d Nyamia }

4th Nagegowda } Died.

5th Padmia }

35

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 49 — of 1892–93.

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Devamma hegadati of Mattavara, Chikkanagalur Taluk, Kadur District, represented by Mr. L. Sreenivasa Iyengar. Muddkanne Gowda

R. 130-91-4

Decision, dated 21st May 1893.

In 1870, on the death of the son of Appellant who was Patel, the Respondent, a member of a different family and half-sharer in the Patelikak, was appointed Patel. Appellant appealed, but the Chief Commissioner decided in 1871 that Appellant was only entitled to maintenance. In 1892, Appellant again claimed the office, but the Deputy Commissioner refused to interfere in her favour. The appeal is against this order.

On behalf of her husband and nephews

(5)

2. Considering the length of time Appellant's family has been out of office, and as Appellant also appears to be still receiving maintenance from the Respondent, the Government cannot now interfere in the matter in her favor. Appellant may bring a regular suit if she likes.

"By Order"

Re-draft.

25/5/93
for Chief Secretary

No. 20032
Pet. 37-92 — 27 23/5/93

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Kadur district, with the original English correspondence & the vernacular records received with his No. 78 dated 10th August 1892.

"By Order"

25/5/93
for Chief Secretary

Compd
Vrs.

23/3/93

A.S. No. 49
738

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
24th May 1893

Respondent — Mudukanna Gowda

Endorsement granted to Devamma Negadati of Mattavara, Chikkanayakanhalli Taluk, Kadur District, (represented by Mr. L. Srinivasa Iyengar)

in reply to her Petition Registered No. 938 dated 20th June 1892, praying that ~~the Amildar's office of Kotalimutt be given to one Muni Siddegowda~~ her husband's nephew Muni Siddegowda may be appointed Patel of Mattavara.

L.R. 130 - 91 - 5

Petitioner is informed that considering the length of time the family has been out of office, Government cannot now interfere in her favor. She may bring a regular suit if she likes.

2. The enclosures are herewith returned.

23/3/93

"By Order"

Re-draft.

25/5/93

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

Attested Sir Colonel

Memo

As no 4 of 1892-93

Appellant

Devamma Heggadathi
of Mathavar Chikka
magalur Taluk.

Mathavar
Firka consists of one "asli"
village and three "dakhali"
villages. originally there
were two "Sannadar" patels
for this Firka, Viz. Mari-
-sidde' gowda and Mudkanna
gowda. Mari-sidde' gowda
died issueless. In 1864
Nirvane' gowda, minor son
of deceased Mari Sidde' gowda's
younger brother was appointed
patel and Bhanne' gowda uncle
of the said Nirvane' gowda
was appointed to do the duties
as guardian during the
minority of his nephew.
Mudkanna gowda the
above named Sannadar
also died and his eldest son
Deve' gowda who had been
doing the patel duties was
sentenced to imprisonment
in a certain criminal case.
The said patel office ~~Corresp~~
~~the~~ therefore became vacant
One Mudkanna gowda
nephew of deceased Mudkanna
gowda thereupon claimed
the Patel.

But in 1867 Mudkanna
gowda's claims were rejected
and Bhanne' gowda above

× Chikka Gowda.

(Please see Genealogical
Cal tree submitted
by the Dy Com.)

(Vide Dy Sup's No 174
of 17.5.69)

named was appointed sole patel of the asli and dakhale villages.

The villagers then complained against Bheema Gowda and requested that Mudkanna Gowda might be appointed.

In 1869 Mudkanna Gowda was appointed Patel of the asli village and Nirvane Gowda of the three dakhale villages. This arrangement was temporary only.

On the 28th February 1870 Nirvane Gowda died.

In September 1870 the Dy. Supt. the present appointed Mudkanna Gowda as the sole patel of the asli and dakhale villages.

Devamma Heggadathi appellant presented a petition afterwards to the Chief Commr. urging her claims to the patel. The Chief Commr. in his letter dated the 16th Dec. 1871 to the Dy. Supt. stated that the said Devamma Heggadathi was only entitled to maintenance from the patel to whom the umbli land had been assigned.

In 1873-74 Byrappa son of Deve Gowda above named filed a Regular Suit against Mudkanna Gowda for the Patel office. The Suit was decided in favor of Bairappa and he accordingly got the "jaina" share of the patel that had been held by Mudkanna Gowda.

× respondent up

(1) no 6/2 of 8.4.72 from the Supt. Nagar Dist.
(2) ~~6049/66~~ of 18.3.72 from Do.
(3) 4592/49 of 16.12.71 from Do.
(4) 400/102 of 8.11.71 to Do.
(5) 193/2 of 11.4.71 to Do.
(6) 6006/263 of 30.4.70 from Do.

In the Kateka Potji settlement of 1874 the Deputy Comm.r confirmed Bairappa as the sole patel and ordered that the said Bairappa should give maintenance to Devamma Heggadathi out of his remuneration.

In 1878 Bairappa was suspended for 6 months on account of some irregularity said to have been committed by him and Mudkanna gowda was appointed to act for him.

In January 1879 Mudkanna gowda was confirmed in his appointment. He has been since then doing the duties as the sole patel of the aolist the Oakhali Villages.

On the 5th May 1892 Devamma Heggadathi presented a petition to the Deputy Comm.r that her late husband's brother's son Mari Sidde gowda should be given her share of the patel and that Mudkanna gowda was not giving her proper maintenance.

On the 13th May 1892 the Deputy Comm.r ordered that since final orders had been passed by the Chief Comm.r in 1872 regarding the patel there were no grounds to interfere with that decision.

On the 15th June 1892 the Deputy Comm.r ordered that as a private arrangement had taken place regarding payment of allowance

to Devamma Heggadathi
and as this arrangement
had not been disturbed since
the Survey Settlement, no relief
could be given to the petitioner.

The appeal is against
the above order.

The appellant prays that
Mari Sidde Gowda her
husband's brother's son
who is the sole male heir
of the family may also be appointed
patel, both Muthanna
Gowda and Mari Sidde
Gowda being equal share
holders of the asli and the
dakhali villages of Mathavar.

1/

28/10/92

Tub 26.3.93

R/183

Posted for hearing
on 18th May 1893.

Appl. No 49 of 1892-93

Appl —

Devamma Hegadati
of Mattavasu
Chek Kanaglu Taluq
Kadur Dt
represented by her
L Shenivasa Iyengar —

Respt's:

Medukanne
Gowda

In 1870, on the death of the son of appl— who was Patail, R. Respt a member of a different family & half shares in the Patailiship was appointed Patail. Appl— appealed, but the Chief Comr decided in 1871 that appl was only entitled to maintenance.

In 1892, appl again claimed the office, but the Dy Comr refused to interfere in her favr — ~~appl is also given maintenance from Respts~~ The appeal is against the order —

Considering the length of time

James

a/l. has been out of office, & as a/l/l
also appears to be still receiving
maintenance from the deposit, the Govt
cannot now interfere in the matter in her
favor. a/l/l may bring a
regular Deed of the Lots.—

20/5793

ent

Considering the length of
time James has been out of
office, Govt cannot now
interfere in her favor— She
may bring a regular Deed of
the Lots.

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892.

No. 938

Name of Petitioner. Devamma Widow of the deceased Hirana gonda Patil of Mattavara.

Village. Mattavara

Taluk. Chitnagalore

District. Kadure

Date of Arzi. 25th June

Date of Receipt. 23rd. June

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 15.

(1) Stamp Correct
(2) Appeal in time
(3) Order Appealed
(4) Rule I cl. (1)

Call for Reports with the usual report
RC.
M
7/7/92
L.R. 130-91-1

ABSTRACT.

The Petitioner claims ~~the office of Patil of~~ the Patelki of one half of this village and the ~~village~~ hamlet attached to it, the other half belonging to the other Assessor. Her son, on whose behalf her husband's brother Channegonda had been doing duty, died before he attained majority. The Division Commissioner ~~decided~~ regarding the rights of Hiri Siddegonda Channegondas son, whom the Petitioner offered to adopt as her son, appointed the other Assessor, Hundakonap

as Ward of the State please
and ordered him to give some
maintenance to the Petitioner.
She appealed to the
Chief Commissioner, but could
not learn anything about
his decision. Marriage Laws Who
~~Needed relief as the~~ had
sick for many years is now
Recovered and is ~~capable~~ Competent
to do ~~Petty~~ work. Therefore
she begs that the Lunacy office
may be given to him.

abdrael

938 R
23-6-92

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸਾਰੇ

ਸਾਰੀ ਰਾਤ ਸੁਰਤ ਸੁਹਾਗ ਵਿਚ ਲੀਨ ਰਹੀ ਅਤੇ ਸਵੇਰੇ ਉਠ ਕੇ ਸਰੀਰ ਨੂੰ ਸਾਫ਼ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਇਸ਼ਨਾਨ ਕੀਤਾ ਅਤੇ ਸੁੰਦਰ ਕਪੜੇ ਪਹਿਨੇ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਸ਼ਰੀਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੁਗੰਧੀ ਲਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਸੁੰਦਰ ਸੇਜ ਵਿਛਾਈ ਅਤੇ ਸੁੰਦਰ ਸੇਜ ਉਤੇ ਬੈਠ ਕੇ ਪ੍ਰਭੂ ਦੀ ਉਡੀਕ ਕਰਨ ਲਗੀ ਅਤੇ ਪ੍ਰਭੂ ਦੇ ਮਿਲਾਪ ਦੀ ਖੁਸ਼ੀ ਵਿਚ ਮਗਨ ਹੋ ਗਈ

Pet

3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 738
dated 21st June 1892 received at the
Dewan's Office from Veerawwa Hoggada
wife of the deceased Virawa
Gowda, Patel of Mallavara, Chik
magalur Taluk, praying that the
hereditary office of Patel may be
given to ~~[illegible]~~ Linga Gowda
is forwarded, together with its fifteen
enclosures, to the Dy. Commissioner,
Kadur District, for enquiry
& Report and a report on the
facts of the case & on the
points taken in the petition

2. The petition should be
~~sent~~ returned.

By Order,

Draft.

Bangalore,
13 July 1892

Chief Secretary.

11/7/92

I.R. 130-91-2

Vide Pet. Nos 7 & 10 of 92

~~14.~~

99

Copy sent 13/7

4

(General Serial No. 30.) 1726

1892. Pet 90

From

The Deputy Commr
Kadur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Dewan~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 10th

Despatched 12th August

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Enc: Covering letter
2 Precis
3 Petn: Memo: and Precis
4 Appl: Petition with Enclo.

General No. 751 1892-93
No. 1

Submitting report on the petition of Mathavar Devamma Veggadati with a brief memo of the facts of the case concerning the points stated in the Appeal Petition.

Petition No
1578

Memorandum
Submitted with
Memo
4
28/10/92
C.R. 130 - 92-3

No 78

Kabul Residency,
Dated Camp Gandamak, 10th August 1842.
12

From,

The Deputy Commissioner
Kabul District

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Bengal.

Sir,

I have the honor to transmit
No 5 dated the 15th July last
forwarding Mahomed Akbar
Khan's Proclamation stating for
reasons which I am to submit for
information a brief Narrative
of the facts of the case known
as the Kabul Outbreak in the
official position.

2. The Mahomedan Village
Committee of the Kabul and
Kabul Villages and formerly
three under Kabul, one for the
Kuzzilbash Class and one for

the Jain Share Expending were
claim the Division Superintendent
sent issued Order in 1870 the
effect that Moodliana Guard
should be extended East of
Kattawa and it Subsidiary
Canals. Again in 1872, the
Chief Commissioner ordered
that Dewanna Kepadale
was entitled to a Grant
once from the Patel from
whom the Land was assigned.
6. In 8 of Years ago, the
Vicelam Rao appointed Bry:
Kapha as Patel for the Jain
Share but no mention was
made for the Hereditary
Dues of the Village. In the
15th June 1874 Ex. Shivanna
obtained Bye Kapha as the
Patel of the Kattawa Village
and asks Dewanna Kep
-gadale to receive a Nuzzurance

In 1879 Bayaffa was dismissed and Major Elsin appointed Headsman Queda in his place - His arrangement is still in force - Dewanna Negpadaé now claims the Kingdom since the Rebellion, but the Princess Mare and that her nephew Maxi Liddejueda be appointed joint Rebel with Headsman Queda as that each of them should hold it by rotation It is not forthcoming from the records in this office why Dewanna Negpadaé claims were set aside according to the Chief Commissioner's Order of 1879, but the fact remains that they were set aside and that she has only been receiving remittances ever since

His maintenance originally ordered of 13th 18-4 - but in the Survey Settlement of 1850, Dwarka Das Nagardas appears to have entered into a private agreement with Ranchhodrai Gokuldas and taken over some lands in lieu of part of the maintenance and orders were issued from this office in May 1852 accordingly.

4. The original register letter: X
Accordance of reference marked pencil ending with the Division Superintendent's No. 10 dated 3rd April 1852, together with the Vernacular records ending with the Amildar's report No. 33 dated 17th June 1852 giving cover to this office original order, for perusal for reference, if necessary.

X made open and kept in the file.

5. The Abkari petition is returned with its Enclosures.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

H H Lodrick

Asst. Collector, Kaira Dist.

Mbau.

Chitrangada Sahk.

Prattavaxa Papeli Ixar.

1. The Patidaja of the Prat=
=tavaxa Village together
with 3 hamlets attached
thereto was originally
held in 2 shares viz. Ixa
Ixar and Jain - The Jain
Lichle Jowda was head:
=Ixama Jowda marked
A and B in the accompany=
=ing genealogical tree
were Patio respectively -
On their death Nirmani:
=powda (D) a minor and
Dhegowda (H) succeeded them.
One Ramapowda (x) being
the head of the minor
Chale to Ginnaia and
Dhegowda being duly
trained.

2. In the Pramukhi
Dhegowda (H) having been
disqualified by being

× Kadiwali
Kxevadevadi and
Vajevadi.

desirous to see fair
implementation & true
& real race. Gemegowda (X)
was ordered to be the sole
Patel of the Village.

3. In receipt of the
petition of the Villagers
complaining against
Gemegowda (X) and
requesting at the same
time to appoint Sri
Kanna Gowda (E) as
their Patel, the then
Division Superintendent
addressed his letter Dr 174 dated
17th May 1864 pro-
posing that Gire-
gowda (X) should
be dismissed and that
Sri Kanna Gowda (E)
be appointed as Patel
or a division of the
Villages secured
be made, Sri Kanna (E)
being appointed Patel

X 5th January 1864

⊗ Agreement to note Su-
=mata Vide the office
letter Dr 174 dated 17th
May 1864 to the Division
Superintendent wherein
he was asked to enquire
into Patel Grants.

for the Asli Village of Nattavan and Thavani Lowda for the Dakla Pais as the latter was unable to do the Patli work of all the villages, Nattavan being a large village with 3 Dakla villages attached thereto.

4. The Division Superintendent stated that the Division of the villages above proposed could not be permitted as there were only 3 small Dakla villages within a distance of ¼ mile from the Asli village and one Patel could easily manage them and further called for a report regarding the age of Thavani Lowda and the specific grounds for considering him to be unfit to manage the Asli and Dakla Villages.

No. 1637/63 dated 3rd July 1864.

5. On receipt of this office report the Division

No. 2248/81 dated 2nd August 1864.

Superintendent said that as there appeared to be 2 Councillors for the Village Patti, it would not be advisable to direct Nivaranigrama of his right to the Patti altogether and directed that Income Taxes from Councillors appointed Patti of the said Village of Jaffna and Nivarani Gomia Patti of the 3 Patti Villages but this direction of the Villages was ordered to be sent Employees for future Consideration on Nivarani for Medical Attendance, Vide Govt Agent Jaffna's Circular No 193/2 dated 11th August 1873 Copy of which was received with Division Superintendent's No 242/4 dated 4th May 1875.

~~Circular Instructions Vide No 893 dated 7th June 1870.~~

Collectors Quits Report No. 390 dt 17th June 1870.

6. Nirmanigoonda Lavina died on 28th February 1870, and the deceased's brother (the present Appellant) Dunawa Regupadi having petitioned, the present Appellant was appointed Kariyakaru

7. Then Mudukanna Goundar, Appellant petitioned regarding his claim for the Patti of the Pattanam Village was received for Personal 15th Division Superintendent No 2128 dated 24th August 1870.

8. The Assistant's Report and Mudukanna Goundar's Appeal petition were submitted to the Division Superintendent with letter No 430 dated 31st August 1870, requesting for instructions whether the petitioner Mudukanna Goundar

might be appointed
Sole Patel of the said
Dattia Village of Mal-
=tawar addressed the
=ceived from the Collec-
=tor dence bearing with
the this Secretary's Let-
=ter No 133/2 dated 1st April
1873 that there might
to be one Patel only for
the said Dattia Village.

9. To this Division
Superintendent stated in
reply to the above that
Broach Commissioner
objected to three Villages
claims to the Patel of
Mattawar and it is said
=diary hereto and
that he should there
=fore be appointed.

10. Hon Dewanna
Nemabai Assistant/
having forwarded to the
Chief Commissioner,
the Division Superin-
=tendent wrote to this
Office with her petition

No 2533/70 dated 25th September 1873.

nominated to it in lieu
of Broadmeadowsde(c)
and (2) stating that the
Executive of granting
a portion of the Public
Parks land to increase
offered to the sale and
that the area only au-
thorised to [illegible]